

LETTER XL.—ON THE CELEBRATED PROBLEM OF THE LONGITUDE: GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE EARTH, OF ITS AXIS, ITS TWO POLES, AND THE EQUATOR.

YOU will by this time, no doubt, imagine that enough has been said of electricity; and indeed I have nothing farther to add on that subject; and am, of course, not a little embarrassed about the choice of one worthy of your attention.

In order to determine my choice, I think myself obliged to take into consideration those subjects which most materially interest human knowledge, and which authors of celebrity most frequently bring forward. These are subjects respecting which, it is to be presumed, persons of quality have considerable information.

As you must unquestionably have heard frequent mention made of the celebrated problem of the longitude, for the solution of which the British nation has proposed a most magnificent premium, I presume that my labour will not be wholly thrown away if I employ it in laying before you a fair state of that important question. It has such an intimate connexion with the knowledge of our terraqueous globe, that it were a shame to be ignorant of it. It will accordingly furnish me with an opportunity of explaining a variety of interesting articles, which I flatter myself you would wish to see elucidated.

I begin, then, with a general description of the earth, which may be considered as a globe, though it has been discovered by recent observation, that its real figure is a spheroid, somewhat flattened; but the difference is so small, that it may for the present be altogether neglected.

The first thing to be remarked on the globe of the earth, are two points on its surface, denominated the two poles of the earth. Round these two points the globe of the earth every day revolves, as you turn a ball fixed between the two points of a turning machine. This motion is called the daily or diurnal motion of the earth, each revolution of which is performed in about twenty-four hours; or, to speak according to appearances, you know that the whole heavens, which we consider as a concave ball, within whose circumference the earth revolves, appear to turn round the earth in the same space of twenty-four hours. This motion is likewise performed round two fixed points in the heavens, denominated the poles of heaven; now if we conceive a straight line drawn from one of these poles of heaven to the other, that line will pass through the centre of the earth.

But you will easily comprehend, that the appearance must be the same, whether the earth turns round these poles, while the heavens remain in a state of rest; or whether the heavens revolve round their poles, the earth remaining at rest. On either supposition we are equally led to the knowledge of the poles of the earth, the foundation not only of astronomy, but likewise of geography.

Let Figure 9. of Plate IV. represent the globe of the earth, whose poles are the points A and B; one of these poles, A, is named the *south* or *antarctic pole*, the other, B, is denominated the *north* or *arctic pole*. This last is nearer to the region of the globe which we inhabit.

I remark that these two poles are directly opposite to each other; in other words, were a straight line A B to be drawn directly through the earth, it would pass precisely through the middle C, that is to say, through the centre of the earth. This straight

line A B has accordingly its appropriate name, and is called *the axis of the earth*, which being produced in both directions to the heavens, will terminate in the two points which are called the poles of heaven; and to which we give the same names as to those of the earth.

These two poles of the earth are by no means a mere fiction, or a speculation of astronomers and geographers; but are really most essential points marked on the surface of our globe; for it is well known, that the nearer we approach these two points, the colder* and more rugged the face of nature becomes, to such a degree, that the regions adjacent to the poles are absolutely uninhabitable, from the excessive cold which prevails there during the winter. No one instance, accordingly, has been produced of any traveller, whether by land or water, who has reached either of the poles. It may be affirmed, therefore, that these two spots of the earth are altogether inaccessible.

Having thus determined the two poles of the earth A and B, we may conceive the whole globe divided into two hemispheres, DBE and DAE, each of which terminates in one of the poles as its summit. For this purpose we are to suppose the globe bisected through its centre C, so that the section shall be perpendicular to the axis of the earth; this section will mark on the surface a circle encompassing the whole globe, every where equally distant from the two poles. This surrounding circle is denominated the *Equator*. The regions adjacent to it are the hottest, and on that account, as the ancients believed,

* I have lately had occasion to show, that the greatest cold is not at the Poles, but at two points on each side of the Pole, nearly coincident with the Magnetic Poles. The mean temperature of Melville Island, which Captain Parry found to be $10\frac{1}{2}$ for 1819-1820, is undoubtedly lower than that of the North Pole of our globe.—See *Edinburgh Transactions*, vol. ix. p. 201.—Ed.

almost uninhabitable; but they are now found to be exceedingly populous, though the heat be there almost insupportable.

But as you remove from the equator, on either side, toward the poles, the countries become more and more temperate, till at last, on approaching too near the poles, the cold becomes intolerable.

As the equator divides the earth into two hemispheres, each bears the name of the pole contained in it; thus the half DBE, which contains the north pole, is denominated the *northern hemisphere*, and in it is situated all Europe, almost the whole of Asia, part of Africa, and the half of America. The other hemisphere DAE, is, from its pole, denominated the *southern hemisphere*, and contains the greatest part of Africa, the other half of America, and several isles, which geographers attribute to Asia, as you will recollect to have seen in maps of the world.

18th August 1761.

LETTER XLII.—OF THE MAGNITUDE OF THE EARTH;
OF MERIDIANS, AND THE SHORTEST ROAD FROM
PLACE TO PLACE.

HAVING distinctly fixed the idea of the poles of the earth, and of the equator, which you much more easily imagine on a globe, than I can represent by a figure, every other necessary idea will readily follow from these.

I must, however, subjoin a further elucidation of considerable importance. The axis of the earth, passing from one pole to the other, through the centre of the earth, is a diameter of the globe, and consequently is double the length of the radius. A radius of the earth, or the distance from every point on the surface to the centre, is computed to be 3956

English miles; the axis of the earth will therefore contain 7912 English miles. And the equator being a circle whose centre is likewise that of the earth, it will have nearly the same radius, namely 3956 miles; the diameter of the equator will accordingly be 7912 miles, and its whole circumference 23736 miles nearly: so that if you were to make a tour of the globe, following the tract of the equator, you must perform a journey of almost 23736 English miles. This will give you some idea of the magnitude of the earth.

The equator being a circle, it is supposed to be divided into 360 equal parts, named *degrees*; a degree of the equator contains, therefore, 65 English miles,* as 9 times 360 make nearly 24196.

Every degree is again subdivided into 60 equal parts, called *minutes*, so that every minute contains more than an English mile, or 6076 English feet; a second being the sixtieth part of a minute, will contain 101 English feet.

It being impossible to represent a globe on paper, any other way than by a circle, you must supply this defect by imagination. Accordingly, AB, (PLATE IV. Fig. 10.) being the two poles of the earth, B the north, and A the south, DMNE will represent the equator, or rather that half of it which is turned toward us, the other being concealed on the opposite side.

The line DMNE represents, then, a semicircle, as well as BEA and BDA; all these semicircles having their centres at that of the globe, C. It is possible to imagine an infinite number of other semicircles,

* These results are only approximative. As the earth is a spheroid, flattened at the poles like an orange, the circumference of the meridian is about 24855.84 English miles, and the circumference of the equator 24896.16 English miles. A geographical mile of 60 to a degree will therefore contain 6075.6 English feet.—En.

all of them drawn through the two poles of the earth A and B, and passing through every point of the equator, as BMA, BNA; these will all be similar to the first, BDA and BEA, though in the figure their form appears very different. Imagination must correct this, and the fact is apparent on a real globe.

All these semicircles drawn from one pole to the other, through whatever point of the equator they may pass, are denominated *meridians*; or rather, a *meridian* is nothing else but a semicircle which, on the surface of the earth, is drawn from one pole to the other; and you can easily comprehend, that taking any place whatever on the surface of the earth, say the point L, you can always conceive a meridian BLMA, which passing through the two poles, takes in its way the point L. This meridian, then, is named the *meridian of L*. Supposing, for example, L to be Berlin, the semicircle BLMA would be the meridian of Berlin; and the same may be said respecting every other spot of the earth.

You can represent to yourself a globe, on the surface of which are described all the countries of the earth, the continent, as well as the sea, with its islands. This artificial globe, denominated the *terrestrial* or *terraqeous globe*, you must no doubt be acquainted with. As to all meridians which can possibly be drawn upon it, and a great number of which actually are traced, I remark, that each, being a semicircle, is divided by the equator into two equal parts, each of which is the fourth part of a circle, that is, an arch of 90 degrees. Accordingly, BD, BM, BN, BE, are fourth parts of a circle, as well as AD, AM, AN, AE; each therefore contains 90 degrees: and it may be farther added, that each is perpendicular to the equator, or forms right angles with it.

Again, were a person to travel from the point of the equator M, to the pole B, the shortest road would

be to pursue the track of the meridian MLB, which being an arch of 90 degrees, will contain 6214 English miles; the distance to be passed in going from the equator to either of the poles.

You will recollect, that the shortest road from place to place, is the straight line drawn through any two places; here the straight line drawn from the point M, in the equator, to the pole B, would fall within the earth—a route which it is impossible to pursue, for we are so attached to the surface of the earth, that we cannot remove from it. For this reason, the question becomes exceedingly different, when it is asked, What is the shortest road leading from one spot on the surface of a globe to another? This shortest road is no longer a straight line, but the segment of a circle, described from one point of the surface to another, and whose centre is precisely that of the globe itself. This is accordingly in perfect harmony with the case in question; for to travel from the point M in the equator, to the pole B, the arch of the meridian MLB, which I have represented as the shortest road, is in reality a segment of the circle whose centre is precisely that of the earth.

In like manner, if we consider the spot L situated in the meridian BLMA, the shortest road to go thence to the pole B will be the arch LB; and if we know the number of degrees which this arch contains, allowing 69 English miles to a degree, we shall have the length of the road. But if you were disposed to travel from the same spot to the equator by the shortest track, it would be necessary to pursue the track of the arch of the meridian LM, the number of degrees contained in which, reckoning 69 English miles to a degree, would give the distance.

We must be satisfied with expressing these distances in degrees, it being so easy to reduce them to English miles, or the miles of any other nation. Taking, then, the city of *Berlin* for the spot L, we

find that the arch LM, which leads to the equator, contains 52 degrees and a half; consequently, to travel from *Berlin* to the equator, the shortest road is 3623 English miles. But if any one were to go from *Berlin* to the north pole, he must follow the direction of the arch BL, which containing 37 degrees and a half, would be 2591 English miles. These two distances added, give 6214 English miles for the extent of the arch BLM, which is the fourth part of a circle, or 90 degrees, which contain, as we have seen, 24855 English miles.

22d August 1761.

LETTER XLII.—OF LATITUDE, AND ITS INFLUENCE ON THE SEASONS, AND THE LENGTH OF THE DAY.

I BEGIN once more with the same figure (PLATE IV. *Fig. 10.*), which must by this time be abundantly familiar to you. The whole circle represents the globe of the earth; the points A and B its two poles; B the north or arctic, and A the south or antarctic; so that the straight line BA, drawn within the earth, and passing through its centre C, is the axis of it. Again, DME is the equator which divides it into two hemispheres, DBE the northern, and DAE the southern.

Let us now take any spot whatever, say L, and draw its meridian BLMA, which, being a semicircle, passes through the point L, and the two poles B and A. This then is the meridian of the place L, divided by the equator at M into two equal parts, making two-fourths of a circle, each of which contains 90 degrees. I remark farther, that the arch LM of this meridian gives us the distance of the place L from the equator, and that the arch LB

expresses the distance of the same place L from the pole B.

This being laid down, it is of importance to observe that the arch LM, or the distance of L from the equator, is denominated the *Latitude of the place L*; so that the latitude of any place on the globe is nothing else but the arch of the meridian of that place, which is intercepted between the equator and the given place; in other words, the latitude of a place is the distance of that place from the equator; expressing such distance by degrees, the quantity of which we perfectly know, as each degree contains 69 English miles.

You will readily comprehend, that this distance must be distinguished, according as the given place is in the northern or southern hemisphere. In the former case, that is, if the given place is in the northern hemisphere, we say it has *north latitude*; but if it is in the southern hemisphere, we say it is in *south latitude*.

Taking Berlin as an instance, we say it is in 52 degrees and 32 minutes of north latitude; the latitude of Magdeburg is, in like manner, northern, 52 degrees and 8 minutes. But the latitude of Batavia in the East Indies is 6 degrees 12 minutes south; and that of the Cape of Good Hope in Africa, is likewise south 33 degrees 55 minutes.

I remark by the way, that for the sake of abbreviation, instead of the word *degree* we affix a small cypher ($^{\circ}$) to the numeral characters, and instead of the word *minute* a small slanting bar ($'$), and instead of *second* two of these ($''$); thus the latitude of the observatory at Paris is $48^{\circ} 50' 14''$ N., that is, 48 degrees, 50 minutes, and 14 seconds North. In Peru there is a place named Vlo, whose latitude has been found to be $17^{\circ} 36' 15''$ S., that is, 17 degrees, 36 minutes, and 15 seconds South. Hence you will

understand, that if a place were mentioned whose latitude was $0^{\circ} 0' 0''$, such a place would be precisely under the equator, as its distance from the equator is 0, or nothing; and in this case it is unnecessary to affix the letter N or S. But were it possible to reach a place whose latitude was 90° N., it would be precisely the north pole of the earth, which is distant from the equator the fourth of a circle, or 90 degrees. This will give you a clear idea of what is meant by the latitude of a place, and why it is expressed by degrees, minutes, and seconds.

It is highly important to know the latitude of every place, not only as essential to Geography, in the view of assigning to each its exact situation on geographical charts, but likewise because on the latitude depend the seasons of the year, the inequalities of day and night, and consequently the temperature of the place. As to places situated directly under the equator, there is scarcely any perceptible variation of the seasons; and through the whole year the days and nights are of the same length, namely, 12 hours. For this reason the equator is likewise denominated the equinoctial line; but in proportion as you remove from the equator, the more remarkable is the difference in the seasons of the year, and the more likewise the days exceed the nights in summer; whereas, reciprocally, the days in winter are as much shorter than the nights.

You know that the longest days, in these northern latitudes, are toward the commencement of our summer, about the 21st of June; the nights, of consequence, are then the shortest; and that toward the beginning of our winter, about the 23d of December, the days are shortest and the nights longest: so that every where the longest day is equal to the longest night. Now in every place the duration of the longest day depends on the latitude of the place.

Here, at Berlin, the longest day is 16 hours and 38 minutes, and consequently the shortest day in winter is 7 hours 22 minutes. In places nearer the equator, or whose latitude is less than that of Berlin, which is $52^{\circ} 32'$, the longest day in summer is less than 16 hours 38 minutes, and in winter the shortest day is more than 7 hours 22 minutes. The contrary of this takes place on removing farther from the equator: at Petersburg, for example, whose latitude is $59^{\circ} 56'$, the longest day is 18 hours 30 minutes, and consequently the night is then only 5 hours 30 minutes: in winter, on the contrary, the longest night is 18 hours 30 minutes, and then the day is only 5 hours 30 minutes. Were you to remove still farther from the equator, till you came to a place whose latitude was $66^{\circ} 30'$, the longest day there would be exactly 24 hours, in other words, the sun would not set at that place at that season; whereas in winter the contrary takes place, the sun not rising at all on the 23d of December, that is, the night then lasting 24 hours. Now at places still more remote from the equator, and consequently nearer the pole, for example, at Warthuys, in Swedish Lapland, this longest day lasts for the space of several days together, during which the sun absolutely never sets; and the longest night, when the sun never rises at all, is of the same duration.

Were it possible to reach the pole itself, we should have day for six months together, and, during the other six, perpetual night. From this you comprehend of what importance it is to know accurately the latitude of every spot of the globe.

22d August 1761.

LETTER XLIII.—OF PARALLELS, OF THE FIRST MERIDIAN, AND OF LONGITUDE.

HAVING informed you, that in order to find the meridian of any given place L, it is necessary to draw on the surface of the earth a semicircle BLMA, passing through the two poles B and A, and through the given place L; I remark (PLATE IV. *Fig. 12.*), that there is an infinite number of other places, through which this same meridian passes, and which are consequently all said to be situated under the same meridian, whether in the northern hemisphere, between B and M, or in the southern, between M and A.

Now, all the places situated under the same meridian differ as to latitude, some being nearer to, or more remote from, the equator than others. Thus, the meridian of Berlin passes through the city of Meisse, and nearly through the port of Trieste, as well as many other places of less note.

You will likewise please to observe, that a great many places may have the same latitude, that is, may be equally distant from the equator, but all of them situated under different meridians. In fact, if L is the city of Berlin, whose latitude, or the arch LM, contains $52^{\circ} 32'$, it is possible that there should be under any other meridian BNA, a place I, the latitude of which, or the arch IN, shall likewise be $52^{\circ} 32'$; such are the points F and G, taken in the meridians BDA, BEA. And as a meridian may be drawn through every point of the equator, in which there shall be a place whose latitude is the same with that of Berlin, or the place L, we shall have an infinite number of places, all of the same latitude. They will be all situated in the circle FLIG, all the points of which being equally distant from the equa-

tor, it is denominated a *parallel circle* to the equator, or simply a *parallel*. A parallel on the globe, then, is nothing else but a circle parallel to the equator, that is, all the points of which are equidistant from it; hence it is evident, that all the points of a parallel are likewise equidistant from the poles of the earth.

As it is possible to draw such a parallel through every place on the globe, we can conceive an infinite number of them, all differing in respect of latitude, each having a latitude, whether north or south, peculiar to itself.

You must likewise be abundantly sensible, that the greater the latitude is, or the nearer you approach to either of the poles, the smaller the parallels become; till at last, on coming to the very poles, where the latitude is 90° , the parallel is reduced to a single point. But, on the contrary, as you approach the equator, or the smaller the latitude is, the greater are the parallels; till at last, when the latitude becomes 0, or nothing, the parallel is lost in the equator. It is accordingly by the latitude that we distinguish them; thus, the parallel of 30° , is that which passes through every place whose latitude is 30 degrees; but it is necessary to explain yourself, according as you mean north or south latitude.

On consulting an accurate map, you will observe that Hanover is situated under the same parallel with Berlin, the latitude of both being $52^{\circ} 32'$; and that the cities of Brunswick and Amsterdam fall nearly under the same parallel; but that the meridians passing through these places are different. If you know the meridian and the parallel under which any place is situated, you are enabled to ascertain its actual position on the globe. If it were affirmed, for example, that a certain place is situated under the meridian BNA, and the parallel FLG, you would only have to look where the meridian BNA is inter-

sected by the parallel FLG, and the point of intersection I, will give the true position of the given place.

Such are the means employed by geographers to determine the real situation of every place on the face of the globe. You have only to ascertain its parallel, or the latitude, and its corresponding meridian. As to the parallel, it is easy to mark and distinguish it from every other; you have only to indicate the latitude or distance from the equator, according as it is north or south: but how describe a meridian, and distinguish it from every other? They have a perfect resemblance, they are all equal to each other, and no one has a special and distinctive mark. It depends therefore upon ourselves to make choice of a certain meridian, and to fix it, in order to refer all others to that one. If, for example, in Figure 12, referred to at the beginning, we were to fix on the meridian BDA, it would be easy to indicate every other meridian, say BMA, by simply ascertaining on the equator the arch DM, contained between the fixed meridian BDA and the one in question BMA, adding only in what direction you proceed from the fixed meridian toward the other, whether from east to west, or west to east.

This fixed meridian, to which every other is referred, is called the *first meridian*; and the choice of this meridian being arbitrary, you will not think it strange that different nations should have made a different choice. The French have fixed on the isle of Ferro, one of the Canaries, for this purpose, and draw their first meridian through it. The Germans and Dutch draw theirs through another of the Canary islands, called Teneriffe. But whether you follow the French or German geographers, it is always necessary carefully to mark on the equator the point through which the first meridian passes; from this

point you afterwards reckon, by degrees, the points through which all other meridians pass; and both French and Germans have agreed to reckon from west to east.

If, therefore, in Figure 12, to which I have already referred, the semicircle BDA be the first meridian, and the points of the equator M and N were situated toward the east, you have only, in order to mark any other meridian, say BMA, to indicate the magnitude of the arch DM; and this arch is what we call the *longitude* of all the places situated under the meridian BMA. In like manner, all the places situated under the meridian BNA have their longitude determined by the arch of the equator DN, expressed in degrees, minutes, and seconds.

29th August 1761.

LETTER XLIV.—CHOICE OF THE FIRST MERIDIAN.

YOU have now received complete information respecting what is denominated the latitude and the longitude of a place on the surface of the globe. Latitude is computed on the meridian of the given place, up to the equator; in other words, it is the distance of the parallel passing through that place from the equator; and to prevent all ambiguity, it is necessary to express whether this latitude or distance is north or south.

As to longitude, we must determine the distance of the meridian of the given place from the first meridian; and this distance is computed on the equator, from the first meridian to the meridian of the given place, always proceeding from west to east; in other words, longitude is the distance of the meridian of the given place, from the first, computing the degrees on the equator, as I have just now said.

We always compute, then, from the first meridian eastward; and it is evident, that when we have computed up to 360 degrees, we are brought back precisely to the first meridian, as 360 degrees complete the circumference of the equator. Accordingly, were any particular place found to be in the 359th degree of longitude, the meridian of that place would be only one degree distant from the first meridian, but toward the west. In like manner, 350° of longitude would exactly correspond with a distance of 10° westward. For this reason, in order to avoid all ambiguity in determining longitude, we go on to reckon up to 360° toward the east.

You will no doubt have the curiosity to know, why geographers, in settling the first meridian, have agreed to fix on one of the Canary islands? I beg leave to reply, that the intention was to begin with settling the limits of Europe toward the west; and as these islands, called the Canaries, and situated in the Atlantic ocean, beyond Spain, toward America, were still considered as part of Europe, it was thought proper to draw the first meridian through the most remote of the Canary islands, that we might be enabled to compute the other meridians without interruption, not only all over Europe, but through the whole extent of Asia; from whence, going on to reckon toward the east, we arrive at America, and thence return at length to the first meridian.

But to which of the Canary isles shall we give the preference? Certain geographers of France made choice of the isle of Ferro, and the Germans that of Teneriffe, because the real situation of these isles was not then sufficiently ascertained, and it was not perhaps known which of them was the most remote; besides, the German geographers imagined that the mountain named the Peak of Teneriffe was pointed

out, as it were, by the hand of Nature for the first meridian.

Be this as it may, it seems rather ridiculous to draw the first meridian through a place whose real position on the globe is not perfectly determined; for it was not till very lately that the situation of the Canaries was ascertained. For this reason, the most accurate astronomers fix the first meridian precisely 20 degrees distant from that of the observatory at Paris, without regarding through what spot the first may in that case pass; and it is undoubtedly the surest method that can be adopted; and in order to determine every other meridian, the simplest way is to find out its distance from that of Paris: then, if that other meridian is more to the east, you have only to add to it 20 degrees, in order to have the longitude of the places situated under it; but if this meridian be westward to that of Paris, you must subtract the distance from 20 degrees. Finally, if this distance toward the west is more than 20 degrees, you subtract it from 380 degrees, that is, from 20 degrees above 360, in order to have the longitude of the meridian.

Thus, the meridian of Berlin being to the eastward of the meridian of Paris $11^{\circ} 2' 0''$, the longitude of Berlin will be $31^{\circ} 2' 0''$; and this is likewise the longitude of all other places situated under the same meridian with Berlin.

In like manner, the meridian of Petersburg being 28 degrees more to the east than that of Paris, the longitude of Petersburg will be 48° .

The meridian of St. James's, London, is more to the west than that of Paris by $2^{\circ} 25' 15''$; subtracting, therefore, that quantity from 20° , the remainder, $17^{\circ} 34' 45''$, gives the longitude of St. James's, London.

Let us now take the city of Lima in Peru, the meridian of which is $79^{\circ} 27' 45''$ to the westward of that of Paris; that distance must be subtracted from 380 degrees; which will leave a remainder of $310^{\circ} 32' 15''$, the longitude of Lima.*

Now, when the latitude and longitude of a place are known, we are enabled to ascertain its true position on the terrestrial globe, or on a map; for as the latitude marks the parallel under which the place is situated, and the meridian gives the meridian of the same place, the point where the parallel intersects the meridian, will be exactly the place in question.

You have but to look at a map, that of Europe, for example; and you will see the degrees of the parallels marked on both sides, or their distances from the equator; above and below are the degrees of longitude, or the distances of the several meridians from the first.

The parallels and meridians are usually traced on maps, degree by degree, sometimes at the distance of 5 degrees from each other. In most maps the meridians are drawn up and down, and the parallels from left to right: the upper part is directed toward the north, the under to the south, the right-hand side toward the east, and the left-hand side toward the west.

It is likewise to be remarked, that as all the meridians meet at the two poles, the more any two meridians approach to either of the poles, the smaller their distance becomes; at the equator their distance always is greatest. Accordingly, on all good maps, where the meridians are traced, you will observe

* This method of reckoning the longitude is now entirely abandoned. The English reckon it from Greenwich, the French from Paris, and so on.—Ed.

that they gradually approximate toward the top, that is the north; and their distances increase as you proceed toward the equator. This is all that seems to be requisite for the understanding of geographical charts, by means of which an attempt is made to represent the surface, or part of the surface, of the globe.

But my principal object was to demonstrate how the real position of every spot on the globe is determined by its latitude and longitude.

1st September 1761.

LETTER XLV.—METHOD OF DETERMINING THE LATITUDE, OR THE ELEVATION OF THE POLE.

IT being a matter of such importance to know the latitude and longitude of every place, in order to ascertain exactly the spot of the globe where you are, you must be sensible that it is equally important to discover the means of certainly arriving at such knowledge.

Nothing can be more interesting to a man, who has been long at sea, or after a tedious journey through unknown regions, than to be informed at what precise spot he is arrived; whether or not he is near some known country, and what course he ought to pursue in order to reach it. The only means of relieving such a person from his anxiety would undoubtedly be to give him the latitude and longitude of the place where he is; but what must he do to attain this most important information? Let us suppose him on the ocean, or in a vast desert, where there is no one whom he can consult. After having ascertained, by the help of a terrestrial globe, or of maps, the latitude and longitude of the

place where he is, he will with ease from them determine his present position, and be furnished with the necessary information respecting his future progress.

I proceed therefore to inform you, that it is by astronomy chiefly we are enabled to determine the latitude and longitude of the place where we are; and that I may not tire you by a tedious detail of all the methods which astronomers have employed for this important purpose, I shall satisfy myself with presenting a general idea of them, trusting that this will be sufficient to convey to you the knowledge of the principles on which every method is founded.

I begin with the latitude, which is involved in scarcely any difficulty; whereas the determination of the longitude seems hitherto to have defied all human research, especially at sea, where the utmost precision is requisite. For the discovery of this last, accordingly, very considerable prizes have been proposed, as an encouragement to the learned to direct their talents and their industry toward a discovery so interesting, both from its own importance, and from the honour and emolument which are to be the fruit of it.

I return to the latitude, and the means of ascertaining it, referring to some future opportunity a more ample discussion of the longitude, and of the different methods of discovering it, especially at sea.

Let the points B and A (PLATE IV. *Fig. 13.*) be the poles of the earth; BA its axis, and C its centre; let the semicircle BDA represent a meridian, intersected by the equator at the point D; and BD, AD, will be each the quadrant of a circle, or an arch of 90 degrees; the straight line DC will therefore be a radius of the equator, and DE its diameter.

Let there now be assumed in this meridian BDA, the point L, the given place, of which the latitude is

required; or, in other words, the number of degrees contained in the arch LD, which measures the distance of the point L from the equator; or again, drawing the radius CL, as the arch LD measures the angle DCL, which I shall call y , this angle y will express the latitude of the place L, which we want to find.

Now, it being impossible to place ourselves at the centre of the earth, from which we could take the measure of that angle, we must have recourse to the heavens. There the prolongation of the axis of the earth AB terminates in the north pole of the heavens P, which we are to consider as at an immense distance from the earth. Let the radius CL likewise be carried forward till it terminate in the heavens at the point Z, which is called the zenith of the place; then, drawing through the point L the straight line ST, perpendicular to the radius CL, you will recollect that this line ST is a tangent of the circle, and that consequently it will be horizontal to the place L; our horizon always touching the surface of the earth at the place where we are.

Let us now look from L toward the pole of the heavens P, which being infinitely distant, the straight line LQ directed to it will be parallel to the line ABP, that is, to the axis of the earth: this pole of the heavens will appear, therefore, between the zenith and the horizon LT; and the angle TLQ, indicated by the letter m , will show how much the straight line LQ, in the direction of the pole, is elevated above the horizon; hence this angle m is denominated the *elevation of the pole*.

You have undoubtedly heard frequent mention made of the elevation of the pole, or, as some call it, the *height of the pole*, which is nothing else but the angle formed by the straight line LQ in the direction of the pole, and the horizon of the place

where we are. You have a perfect comprehension of the possibility of measuring this angle m , by means of an astronomical instrument, without my going into any farther detail.

Having measured this angle m , or the height of the pole, it will give you precisely the latitude of the place L, that is, the angle y . To make this appear, it is only necessary to demonstrate that the two angles m and y are equal.

Now the line LQ being parallel to CP, the angles m and n are alternate, and consequently equal. And the line LT being perpendicular to the radius CL, the angle CLT of the triangle CLT must be a right angle, and the other two angles of that triangle, n and x , must be together equal to a right angle. But the arch BD being the quadrant of a circle, the angle BCD must likewise be a right angle; the two angles x and y , therefore, are together equal to the two angles n and x . Take away the angle x from both, and there will remain the angle y equal to the angle n ; but the angle n has been proved equal to the angle m , therefore the angle y is likewise equal to the angle m .

It has already been remarked, that the angle y expresses the latitude of the place L, and the angle m the elevation or height of the pole at the same place L; the latitude of any place, therefore, is always equal to the height of the pole at that same place. The means which astronomy supplies, for observing the height of the pole, indicate therefore the latitude required.

Astronomical observations made at Berlin have accordingly informed us, that there the height of the pole is $52^{\circ} 32'$, and hence we conclude that the latitude of that city is likewise $52^{\circ} 32'$.

This is one very remarkable instance to demonstrate how the heavens may assist us in the attain-

ment of the knowledge of objects which relate only to the earth.

5th September 1761.

LETTER XLVI.—KNOWLEDGE OF THE LONGITUDE,
FROM A CALCULATION OF THE DIRECTION, AND
OF THE SPACE PASSED THROUGH.

I NOW proceed to the longitude; and remark, that on taking a departure, whether by land or water, from a known place, it would be easy to ascertain the spot we had reached, did we know exactly the length of the road, and the direction which we pursued. This might, in such a case, be effected even without the aid of astronomy; and this obliges me to enter into a more particular detail on the subject.

We measure the length of a road by feet; we know how many feet go to a mile, and how many miles go to an arch of one degree upon the globe: thus we are enabled to express in degrees the distance we have travelled.

As to the route or direction in which we travel, it is necessary accurately to know the position of the meridian at every place where we are. As the meridian proceeds in one direction toward the north pole, and in the other toward the south, you have only to draw, on the horizon of the spot where you are, a straight line from north to south, which is called the *meridian line* of that place. All possible care must be taken to trace this meridian line very accurately, and here the heavens must again perform the office of a guide.

You know it is mid-day when the sun is at his greatest elevation above the horizon; or, which is the same thing, the direction of the sun is then exactly south, and the shadow of a staff fixed perpendicu-

larly on a horizontal plane will fall, at that instant, precisely northward. Hence it is easy to comprehend, how an observation of the sun may furnish us with the means of accurately tracing a meridian line, wherever we may be.

Having traced a meridian, every other direction is very easily determined.

Let the straight line NS (PLATE IV. *Fig. 14.*) be the meridian, one of the extremities N being directed toward the north, and the other S toward the south. With this meridian let there be drawn at right angles the straight line EW, whose extremity E shall be directed toward the east, and the other extremity W toward the west. Having divided the circle into 16 equal parts, we shall have so many different directions, denominated according to the letters affixed to them; and in case of not pursuing a direction which exactly corresponds with some one of the sixteen, the angle must be marked which that deviating line of direction makes with the meridian NS, or with EW, which is perpendicular to it.

It is thus we are enabled to determine exactly the direction which we pursue in travelling; and so long as we are assured of the length of the way, and of the direction pursued, it will be very easy to ascertain the true place at which we have arrived, and to indicate both its longitude and latitude. We employ for this purpose an accurate map, which contains the point of departure, and that which we have reached; and by means of the scale, which gives the quantity of miles or leagues that go to a degree, it is easy to trace, on such map, the track pursued and completed.

Figure 15. of Plate IV. represents a map, on which are marked from left to right the degrees of longitude, and those of latitude from top to bottom; it is likewise visible on the face of it, that the meridians con-